



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,550	12/28/2001	Kenichi Hashizume	4925-200	1402

7590

08/14/2003

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE  
Suite 1210  
551 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10176

EXAMINER

COLE, ELIZABETH M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/034,550

Applicant(s)

HASHIZUME ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth M Cole

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the recitation of a "two-dimensional reinforcing core material" renders the claim vague and indefinite since any reinforcement would be three dimensional, i.e., have a length, width and thickness. Also, in claim 4, the use of "such as" renders the claim vague and indefinite, because, although per se rules are not applied, the scope of the instant claim is not clear as to whether any natural fibers or synthetic fibers would meet the claim, or whether only those natural fibers or synthetic fibers either specifically recited or else which are somehow "similar" to those specifically recited would meet the claimed limitation.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 6-10, 15-18, 20-24 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000176964 in view of "What is injection molding", Intelligent Systems Laboratory. JP '964 discloses an injected molded material comprising a cellulosic base sheet, a resin layer and a transparent resin that is injection molded onto the base sheet. See page 2, paragraph 0027 of the machine translation. The transparent resin may comprise an acrylic or polycarbonate resin. See page 2, paragraph 0028 of the machine translation. The base sheet may comprise a cloth of cellulosic, (i.e., natural), fibers. See abstract. The base sheet may comprise pictures or

Art Unit: 1771

other designs. See page 3, paragraph 0035. JP '964 differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose that a portion of the resin surface layer protrudes from the surface. "What is Injection Molding" shows that injection molded articles can be formed so that the shape comprises a portion which protrudes from the planar surface of the article. Further, "What is Injection Molding" shows that injection molded parts conform to the shape of the mold. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have formed the injected molded part of JP '964 into whatever shape was desired depending upon the final use of the molded part.

4. Claims 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '964 in view of "What is injection Molding" as applied to claims 1-2, 4,5, 6-10, 15-18, 20-24, 33 above, and further in view of Bompard et al, U.S. Patent no. 5,484,642. JP '964 does not disclose the particularly claimed fibers. Bompard teaches at col. 3, lines 43-51 that glass, carbon, aramid or metallic fibers may be used as the reinforcement for injection molded parts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed the fibers taught by Bompard in the molded part of JP '964. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the fibers disclosed in Bompard because these fibers are taught as being particularly suitable for use in injection molded parts.

Claims 11-14, 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '964 in view of "What is Injection Molding" and Bompard above, and further in view of JP 05050433. JP '964 does not disclose that the injection molded part is part of an electronic device casing. JP '05050433 teaches that housings for electronics may be

Art Unit: 1771


formed from textile-reinforced, injection molded plastics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the material of JP '964 into housings for electronic parts. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to form electronic housings from the material of JP'964 by the teaching of JP '433 that electronic housings may be formed from fiber-reinforced injection molded materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

  
Elizabeth M. Cole  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1771

e.m.c

August 12, 2003